

The alternating compositions of weighted differential operators yield the weights' Wronskian with which constant ?

Kian C. Shah Arthemy V. Kiselev*

36th International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics

*Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics, Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of Groningen

July 17, 2026

Values & data



kianshah.in/research

Preprint



[arXiv:2605.11137](https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.11137)

Outline

Setup

const(p)

Reduction to Combinatorics

Values

Growth and Outlook

Prelude: the Wronskian

$$W(w_1, \dots, w_n)(x) = \det \begin{pmatrix} w_1(x) & w_2(x) & \cdots & w_n(x) \\ w_1'(x) & w_2'(x) & \cdots & w_n'(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_1^{(n-1)}(x) & w_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & w_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Wronskians as n -ary brackets

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, set $N = 2p$; take coefficients $w_1, \dots, w_N$ for differential operators of order p .

Wronskians as n -ary brackets

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, set $N = 2p$; take coefficients $w_1, \dots, w_N$ for differential operators of order p . For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we define:

$$\mathcal{A}_p[w_1, \dots, w_N](f) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^\sigma w_{\sigma(1)} \partial_x^p \circ w_{\sigma(2)} \circ \dots \circ w_{\sigma(N)} \partial_x^p (f(x)),$$

where $(-1)^\sigma$ is the sign of the permutation σ .

Wronskians as n -ary brackets

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, set $N = 2p$; take coefficients $w_1, \dots, w_N$ for differential operators of order p . For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we define:

$$\mathcal{A}_p[w_1, \dots, w_N](f) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^\sigma w_{\sigma(1)} \partial_x^p \circ w_{\sigma(2)} \circ \dots \circ w_{\sigma(N)} \partial_x^p (f(x)),$$

where $(-1)^\sigma$ is the sign of the permutation σ .

Special inputs for the N -ary Lie bracket

$$[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N] := \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^\sigma a_{\sigma(1)} \circ a_{\sigma(2)} \circ \dots \circ a_{\sigma(N)}$$

over an associative algebra A , with $a_i = w_i \partial_x^p$.

The constant $\text{const}(p)$

Theorem (Kiselev [2])

There is a universal constant $\text{const}(p)$, independent of f and of the weights, such that

$$\mathcal{A}_p[w_1, \dots, w_N](f) = \text{const}(p) \cdot \text{Wronsk}(w_1, \dots, w_N) \cdot \partial_x^p(f).$$

The constant $\text{const}(p)$

Theorem (Kiselev [2])

There is a universal constant $\text{const}(p)$, independent of f and of the weights, such that

$$\mathcal{A}_p[w_1, \dots, w_N](f) = \text{const}(p) \cdot \text{Wronsk}(w_1, \dots, w_N) \cdot \partial_x^p(f).$$

Central question. What is the value of $\text{const}(p)$?

Known before this work

p	const(p)	
1	1	(Lie bracket)
2	2	(by hand)
3	90	(JETS, symbolic)

Known before this work

p	const(p)	
1	1	(Lie bracket)
2	2	(by hand)
3	90	(JETS, symbolic)

A direct expansion over S_N is already infeasible at $p = 4$ ($8! = 40320$ terms). A more economical strategy is needed for $p \geq 4$.

Monomial weights

Choosing $w_n(x) = x^{n-1}$ makes both sides explicit and easy to compute.

Monomial weights

Choosing $w_n(x) = x^{n-1}$ makes both sides explicit and easy to compute.

Left-hand side

Each factor differentiates a power and shifts it, e.g.

$$x^2 \partial_x^2 (x^5) = 5 \cdot 4 x^5 = 20 x^5,$$

Monomial weights

Choosing $w_n(x) = x^{n-1}$ makes both sides explicit and easy to compute.

Left-hand side

Each factor differentiates a power and shifts it, e.g.

$$x^2 \partial_x^2 (x^5) = 5 \cdot 4 x^5 = 20 x^5,$$

so a term collapses to an integer times a monomial:

$$x^\beta \partial_x^p (x^\alpha) = \underbrace{\alpha \cdots (\alpha - p + 1)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\alpha + \beta - p}.$$

Monomial weights

Choosing $w_n(x) = x^{n-1}$ makes both sides explicit and easy to compute.

Left-hand side

Each factor differentiates a power and shifts it, e.g.

$$x^2 \partial_x^2 (x^5) = 5 \cdot 4 x^5 = 20 x^5,$$

so a term collapses to an integer times a monomial:

$$x^\beta \partial_x^p (x^\alpha) = \underbrace{\alpha \cdots (\alpha - p + 1)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\alpha + \beta - p}.$$

Right-hand side

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1! & x & \cdots & x^{N-1} \\ 0 & 1! & \cdots & (N-1)x^{N-2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & (N-1)! \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} k!.$$

A closed-form expression

For $\sigma \in \Phi_p$ and $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$, set

$$E_k(\sigma) := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\sigma(N - j) - 1).$$

A closed-form expression

For $\sigma \in \Phi_p$ and $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, set

$$E_k(\sigma) := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\sigma(N-j) - 1).$$

Theorem (Closed form)

$$\text{const}(p) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} k! = \sum_{\sigma \in \Phi_p} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} E_k(\sigma)^p.$$

A closed-form expression

For $\sigma \in \Phi_p$ and $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, set

$$E_k(\sigma) := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\sigma(N-j) - 1).$$

Theorem (Closed form)

$$\text{const}(p) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} k! = \sum_{\sigma \in \Phi_p} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} E_k(\sigma)^{\underline{p}}.$$

monomial term $\longleftrightarrow \sigma \longleftrightarrow$ summand

Worked example: $p = 2$

Computing $\text{const}(2)$

Contributing permutations: $(1,2,3,4)$, $(1,2,4,3)$, $(1,3,2,4)$

$$\text{const}(p)|_{p=2} = \frac{3! 3! 2! - 3! 2! 2! - 3! 2! 2!}{3! 2! 1!} = 3! - 2! - 2! = 2.$$

Values of $\text{const}(p)$, $1 \leq p \leq 14$

p	$\text{const}(p)$	p	$\text{const}(p)$
1	1	8	$\approx 4.594 \times 10^{51}$
2	2	9	$\approx 2.060 \times 10^{72}$
3	90	10	$\approx 1.237 \times 10^{97}$
4	586 656	11	$\approx 1.512 \times 10^{126}$
5	1 915 103 977 500	12	$\approx 5.498 \times 10^{159}$
6	$\approx 7.886 \times 10^{21}$	13	$\approx 8.396 \times 10^{197}$
7	$\approx 8.587 \times 10^{34}$	14	$\approx 7.398 \times 10^{240}$

New integer sequence: oeis.org/A392714¹

Values $\text{const}(1)$ - $\text{const}(7)$ verified externally by R. Buring

¹Also permanently available on kianshah.in (under Research).

Growth of $\text{const}(p)$




Figure: The two best-fitting growth laws for $\log(\text{const}(p))$ against p (fit over $p > 4$; the excluded points $p \leq 4$ are marked with a cross).

Arithmetic structure

$q \backslash p$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
2	1	1	5	2	5	4	17	11	16	7	18	13	17
3	.	2	3	1	6	2	2	14	6	5	11	8	3
5	.	1	.	4	2	.	.	.	8	6	5	2	2
7	.	.	1	1	.	6	5	2	.	.	.	.	13
11	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	2	.	10	8	6	4
13	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	1	.	1	.	12	11
17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	1	.
19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	.	.	.

Arithmetic structure

$q \backslash p$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
2	1	1	5	2	5	4	17	11	16	7	18	13	17
3	.	2	3	1	6	2	2	14	6	5	11	8	3
5	.	1	.	4	2	.	.	.	8	6	5	2	2
7	.	.	1	1	.	6	5	2	.	.	.	.	13
11	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	2	.	10	8	6	4
13	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	1	.	1	.	12	11
17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	1	.
19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	.	.	.

Conjecture (the diagonal): $v_p(\text{const}(p)) = p - 1$ for every prime p .

What remains open

- Prove the conjecture $v_p(\text{const}(p)) = p - 1$; the lower bound $v_p(\text{const}(p)) \geq p - 1$ is established.

What remains open

- Prove the conjecture $v_p(\text{const}(p)) = p - 1$; the lower bound $v_p(\text{const}(p)) \geq p - 1$ is established.
- Parity conjecture: even and odd counts in Φ_p differ by exactly one.

What remains open

- Prove the conjecture $v_p(\text{const}(p)) = p - 1$; the lower bound $v_p(\text{const}(p)) \geq p - 1$ is established.
- Parity conjecture: even and odd counts in Φ_p differ by exactly one.
- The exact growth rate of $\text{const}(p)$; the values $p \geq 15$.

What remains open

- Prove the conjecture $v_p(\text{const}(p)) = p - 1$; the lower bound $v_p(\text{const}(p)) \geq p - 1$ is established.
- Parity conjecture: even and odd counts in Φ_p differ by exactly one.
- The exact growth rate of $\text{const}(p)$; the values $p \geq 15$.
- A multivariable generalisation.

References I

- [1] K. C. Shah, A. V. Kiselev, The alternating compositions of weighted differential operators yield the weights' Wronskian with which constant?, preprint (2026). arXiv:2605.11137.
- [2] A. V. Kiselev, On Associative Schlessinger–Stasheff Algebras and Wronskian Determinants, *Fundamentalnaya i Prikladnaya Matematika* **11**(1) (2005), 159–180; English transl. *J. Math. Sci.* **141**(1) (2007), 1016–1030. arXiv:math/0410185.
- [3] H. Baran, M. Marvan, Jets: A Software for Differential Calculus on Jet Spaces and Diffieties.
<http://jets.math.slu.cz>.

References II

- [4] M. Marvan, Sufficient Set of Integrability Conditions of an Orthonomic System, Found. Comput. Math. **9**(6) (2009), 651–674.
- [5] A. V. Kiselev, Wronskians as N -ary brackets in finite-dimensional analogues of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **3152** (2025), 012044.
- [6] OEIS Foundation Inc., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Sequence A147681.
<https://oeis.org/A147681>.

Thank you

Kian C. Shah & Arthemy V. Kiselev

Preprint



`arXiv:2605.11137`

Values & data



`kianshah.in/research`